Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,937	NGUYEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jasson H. Yoo	3718
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jasson H. Yoo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Holby Abern</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 March 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103		
Claims discussed: 1-3, 6-7, 9-15, 17-21, 24-25, 27-29, 61-62, and 64-68		
Prior art documents discussed: Walker and Shulman		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed that Walker's flat rate price is determined when the pis paid using the player's credit account. Walker in view of Shul provided to the player in response to paying a fee. It was discuis provided to the player in response to paying a fee" to claims withdrawn claims depend on the allowable independent claims examiner's amendment.	parameters of the flat rate session in Iman fails to teach the claim limitation Issed that adding the claim limitation 62 and 67 will make the claims allo	have been determined. The fee on of a tournament game card is on of, "the tournament game card bwable. Furthermore, the
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jasson H Yoo/ Examiner, Art Unit 3718	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)